IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 254 OF 2006
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO. 25 OF 2005

SHRI MAHADEV BABU MARATHE,CANACONA GOA. ... Applicant

Versus

SHRI JANU N.GAONKAR(SIN.DEC.) REP.BY LR'S., ... Respondents

Ms. Sapna Mordekar, Advocate for the applicant.
Mr. R.G.Ramani, Advocate for the respondents No. 9,12,13,15,16,17,19,20 & 21.

Coram:- R. S. MOHITE, J.

Date:- 2nd August, 2007

P.C.

Heard the both the Advocates present. Heirs of respondent No.18 have been served but have not appeared. This is an application for condonation of delay and for bringing the heirs of deceased respondents No.18 on record. It is mentioned in the application that applicants were not aware of the death of the respondent No.18, hence, there is delay in filing the application. No reply is filed. In the circumstances, for the reasons mentioned in the application, application is allowed in terms of prayer clause a & b. Amendment to be carried out in second appeal within 3 weeks from today. M.C.A. disposed off.

R. S. MOHITE, J.

SMA